

MODULE DESCRIPTOR **Module Title** Advancing Practice Dissertation Reference **NUM100** Version 1 Created January 2020 SCQF Level SCQF 11 May 2020 **SCQF** Points Approved 60 Amended **ECTS Points** 30

Aims of Module

To enable the student to undertake an empirical study or a structured review of an area of clinical practice, using a robust and systematic process, which will inform future research or practice.

Learning Outcomes for Module

On completion of this module, students are expected to be able to:

- 1 Critically appraise the appropriate evidence base to support an in-depth study or review in an area of clinical practice.
- Undertake an empirical study or a structured review justifying appropriate methodologies to collect, analyse and synthesise the data.
- 3 Critically and creatively comment on the potential contributions of the findings to relevant areas of clinical practice and existing evidence.
- Synthesise relevant information from the completion of the study and prepare written and oral reports at a standard worthy of publication in a credible peer reviewed journal, and presentation at a professional conference.

Indicative Module Content

Evidence-based research; Protocol development; Supervision contracts; Systematic processes - research, clinical audit, evaluative methodology, quality improvement cycles, structured review; Reflexivity; Ethical considerations; Application for ethical approval; Data collection methods, data analysis and synthesis methods; Effective utilisation of critical and analytical skills; Making recommendations for practice; Consideration of implications for practice and further research; Report writing in the form of a dissertation; Formats for oral presentation and effective oral presentation skills.

Module Delivery

The indicative content for this module will be delivered online with a supervisory element which will be negotiated with individual students. Such supervision may take place through face-to-face discussion, telephone, email, or using technology such as Blackboard Collaborate Ultra or video conferencing.

Module Ref: NUM100 v1

Indicative Student Workload	Full Time	Part Time
Contact Hours	30	30
Non-Contact Hours	570	570
Placement/Work-Based Learning Experience [Notional] Hours	N/A	N/A
TOTAL	600	600
Actual Placement hours for professional, statutory or regulatory body		

ASSESSMENT PLAN

If a major/minor model is used and box is ticked, % weightings below are indicative only.

Component 1

Type: Coursework Weighting: 80% Outcomes Assessed: 1, 2, 3, 4

Description: Written dissertation.

Component 2

Type: Examination Weighting: 20% Outcomes Assessed: 4

Description: Oral presentation.

MODULE PERFORMANCE DESCRIPTOR

Explanatory Text

C1 = Written dissertation. C2 = Oral presentation.

Module Grade	Minimum Requirements to achieve Module Grade:
Α	C1 = A; C2 = Pass
В	C1 = B; C2 = Pass
С	C1 = C; C2 = Pass
D	C1 = D; C2 = Pass
E	C1 = E; C2 = Fail
F	C1 = F; C2 = Fail
NS	Non-submission of work by published deadline or non-attendance for examination

Module Requirements

Prerequisites for Module Successful completion of a postgraduate diploma or equivalent.

Corequisites for module None.

Precluded Modules None.

Module Ref: NUM100 v1

INDICATIVE BIBLIOGRAPHY

- BELL, J. and WATERS, S., 2018. *Doing your research project: a guide for first-time researchers.* 7th ed. Maidenhead: Open University Press.
- DENSCOMBE, M., 2017. *The good research guide for small-scale research projects.* 6th ed. Maidenhead: Open University Press.
- HOLLY, C., SALMOND, S. and SAIMBERT, M., eds., 2017. *Comprehensive systematic review for advanced practice*. New York, NY: Springer.
- 4 PUNCH, K.F., 2016. Developing effective research proposals. 3rd ed. London: Sage.
- 5 McKENDRY, S., 2016. Critical thinking skills for healthcare. Abingdon: Routledge.
- A current and comprehensive list of references will be supplied on an annual basis to reflect the evolving nature of the topics addressed within this module.